Psychological Journal of Modern Assessment and Intervention

Vol (1) Issue (1) January 2024 ISSN-XXXX

Article

Relationship between Social Anxiety, Self-regulation and Fear of Negative Evaluation in Young Adults

Kanupriya Rastogi¹ and Dr. Pooja Varma²

¹Student, Department of Psychology, Jain (Deemed-to-be) University, Jayanagar, Bengaluru, Karnataka 560069

²Associate Professor Psychology, Department of Psychology, Jain (Deemed-to-be) University, Jayanagar, Bengaluru, Karnataka 560069

Keywords

Fear of negative evaluation. Gender, Positive relationship, Self-regulation, Social Anxiety

Abstract

Aim: The purpose of this study was to assess the relationship between social anxiety, self-regulation and Fear of Negative Evaluation in young adults. **Study design**: Participants were 18-30-year-old. An online and offline questionnaire was given to the participants which included Liebowitz scale for social anxiety, Self-Regulation Scale and FNE scale. **Results**: Data analysis included 100 participants (50 males, 50 females). Significant correlations were noted between social anxiety and self- regulation (r = 0.81, p = 0.211) and significant correlation between social anxiety and Fear of negative evaluation (r = .621, p = <0.001). Gender difference was also predicted which concluded that females are more anxious than men. **Conclusions**: There is a positive relationship between social anxiety and self-regulation and also social anxiety and fear of negative evaluation.

Introduction

Social anxiety refers to a condition where individuals tend to avoid social and performance situations due to a fear of being evaluated negatively (Aderka, Haker, Marom, & Hermesh, 2013). People with social anxiety perceive social situations as dangerous wherein other people are scrutinizing them, which leads to feelings of anxiety. This type of anxiety can be seen through somatic symptoms like sweating or feeling hot in the face, cognitive symptoms like mental blanks and arousal symptoms. Safety behaviors provide temporary relief from anxiety and allow the individual to feel more comfortable in the anxiety provoking situation (Clark & Wells, 2001). Research by McManus, Sacadura and Clark (2008) however shows that the use of safety behaviors plays a major role in maintaining anxiety because people with social anxiety misattribute non-occurrence of feared stimuli to their use of these behaviors; whereas the real cause of anxiety is their set of faulty assumptions about the situation and other people. Individuals with social anxiety avoid social situations to relieve themselves from distressing feelings and thus make faulty connections between their avoidance and reduced anxiety. Thus, for people with social anxiety, it is a daily battle to cope with thoughts and feelings of anxiety that persist and show no signs of remitting -- even though they "face their fears" every day of their lives. like hypervigilance (Clark, 2001).

There has not been much empirical research on goal setting in socially anxious individuals. This construct is, however, part of some theories of social anxiety (Carver and Scheier, 1986; Arkin, Lake and Baumgardner, 1986). Some have argued that socially anxious individuals believe that others have high standards for them to meet (Rehm, 1977; Schlenker and Leary, 1982). Wallace and Alden (1991), however, found that anxious participants did not set higher goals for themselves than nonanxious participants and did not rate others' standards as higher than did the nonanxious group.

Cognitive models of social anxiety describe how fear of negative evaluation is an important component of social anxiety (Clark & Wells, 1995; Rapee & Heimberg, 1997). Fear of negative evaluation (FNE) can be described as a feeling of apprehension about and distress over the assumption that others would evaluate oneself negatively (Watson & Friend, 1969). Individuals with social anxiety are overly concerned with how they are being judged or perceived by other people. They tend to imagine that they are being perceived in negative ways, and they are often inhibited in their social behaviors as a result. This fear of being evaluated negatively can cause emotional distress and a heightened level of anxiety which affects their behaviors and choices. This construct can be measured using the Brief Fear of Negative Evaluation Scale (Leary, 1983).

Self-regulation is the ability to monitor and manage your energy states, emotions, thoughts, and behaviors in ways that are acceptable and produce positive results such as well-being, loving relationships, and learning. Self-regulated learning is a process that assists individual in managing their thoughts, behaviours, and emotions in order to successfully navigate their learning experiences (Zumbrunn, Tadlock, & Roberts, 2011). According to Canadian researcher, Shanker (2012), "self-regulation refers to a child's ability to deal with stressors effectively and efficiently and then return to a baseline of being calmly focused and

alert." It is how we deal with stressors and as such, lays the foundation for all other activity. Selfregulation is the process of continuously monitoring progress toward a goal, checking outcomes, and redirecting unsuccessful efforts. In order for students to be self-regulated they need to be aware of their own thought process, and be motivated to actively participate in their own learning process.

Fear of negative evaluation has been well researched in the social anxiety literature and positively correlated to social anxiety. Fear of negative evaluation is the anxiety associated with the learner's perception of how other onlookers (instructors, classmates or others) may negatively view their language ability. Fear of negative evaluation (FNE) was originally defined as a trait related to "apprehension about others' evaluations, distress over their negative evaluations, avoidance of evaluative situations and the expectation that others would evaluate.

Methodology

The research was conducted on 100 participants, 50 males and 50 females, and their response was used for data analysis, after removing questionnaires with double responses or those who did not fit the inclusion criteria for the study. Purposive sampling design method was used for sampling method. This method is a valid and reliable method as each and every member of the selected age group has the equal chance of being selected for the study i.e., any individual who belongs in that particular category (young adults) is suitable for the study and has an equal chance of being included in the study. Participants for this study were between the age group of 18-30 years.

For analysis of this study, equal gender participants were needed to find the difference between response of gender for these variables. The questionnaire was a mix of 3 scales: Liebowitz social anxiety scale which is a 24- item scale. It is a self-rated scale used to assess how social anxiety plays a role in your life across a variety of situations. Each item scoring is based on Likert scale: 0=none, 1=mild, 2=moderate. 3= severe.

Another scale used for this study was Self – regulation (SRQ) scale. Scoring is done on the basis of: 1 = Not at allcharacteristic of me; 2 = Slightly characteristic of me;3 = Moderately characteristic of me; 4 = Very characteristic of me;5 = Extremely characteristic of me. One more scale was used, Fear of Negative evaluation scale (FNE), which is a 12-item scaleIt is a 5- point Likert scale. Each item on the measure is rated on a 5-point scale (0=Never; 1=Occasionally; 2=Half of the time; 3=Most of the time; and 4=All of the time. General instructions were given to the respondents regarding the purpose and time of task. The questionnaire contains 3 scales, social anxiety, Self-regulation and Fear of negative evaluation.

All the 3 scales have different options and scoring pattern. For each scale, there are instructions mentioned in the form along with the details of the given option. Participants were requested to fill the data sheet after reading the guidelines given and begin reacting.

Data was analysed through SPSS. The data collected via google form were automatically exported to an excel spreadsheet in a de-identified manner. in addition, the data that was collected in offline mode were recorded and added to the spreadsheet. The data in the spreadsheet was then imported to SPSS software (IBM, Version 25) for data analysis.

Normality was checked but data was not normally distributed. Spearman correlation coefficient is used due to the large sample size, the study has continuous variables and are ratio scales. The correlational research method was used as there are three variables present.

Result and Discussion

Table 1The no. of participants of the study

| | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative |
|-------|--------|-----------|---------|---------------|------------|
| | | | | | Percent |
| Valid | Male | 50 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| | Female | 50 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

Table 2

Positive correlation between social anxiety and self-regulation

| | | SA Total | SR Total |
|----------|---------------------|----------|----------|
| SA Total | Pearson correlation | 1 | .092 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | | .361 |
| | N | 100 | 100 |
| SR Total | Pearson correlation | .092 | 1 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | .361 | |
| | N | 100 | 100 |

Table 3

Positive correlation between social anxiety and fear of negative evaluation

| | | SA Total | FNE Total |
|------------------|---------------------|----------|------------------|
| SA Total | Pearson correlation | 1 | .621** |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | | <.001 |
| | N | 100 | 99 |
| FNE Total | Pearson correlation | .621** | 1 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | <.001 | |
| | N | 99 | 99 |

Table 4Difference in the percentage of social anxiety in gender

| | | | | SA Total |
|-----|-------|--------|--------|----------|
| Age | 18-25 | Gender | Female | 54.2% |
| | | | Male | 45.8% |
| | 25-30 | Gender | Female | 60.7% |
| | | | Male | 39.3% |

Table 5
T-test of genders with social anxiety

| | Gender | N | Mean | SD | Std. | Error |
|----------|--------|----|---------|---------|--------|-------|
| | | | | | Mean | |
| SA Total | Female | 50 | 21.7600 | 6.05606 | .85646 | |
| | Male | 50 | 20.8000 | 5.52545 | .78142 | |

For the analysis of result, Spearman Correlational Method is used as the data was not normally distributed. The correlational research method was used as there are three variables present social anxiety, self- regulation and fear of negative evaluation. The objective questions on SA, SR and FNE are analysed for 100 participants (50 males and 50 females). After analysing the responses from the participants, it is concluded that there is a positive correlation between social anxiety and Self-regulation (r = 0.81, p = 0.211) the magnitude of the correlation is high i.e., as anxiety increases, selfregulation also increases. So, H1 is rejected. The results of Spearman correlation coefficient for Social Anxiety and Fear of negative Evaluation showed that there is a positive correlation between social anxiety and Fear of negative Evaluation (r = .621, p = <0.001) the magnitude of the correlation is moderate i.e., as anxiety increases, fear of negative evaluation also increases. So, H1 is again rejected. It was hypothesized that Females are more anxious than males. After analysing previous studies, it showed that there is a significant difference between value of fear of negative evaluation while having anxiety and thinking pattern during the anxiety in male and female participants. It was analysed from the current study that; males can easily regulate their emotions according to the situation in comparison to woman because males accept the social situations easily. Table 4 and Table 5 shows the difference between level of anxiety in males and females and it can be concluded that females are more anxious than men. Therefore, H2 is accepted.

Conclusion

Social anxiety is always a dominating factor in young adults, how one can regulate by being in a situation is a task without getting afraid of evaluated negatively by others. In conclusion, this research has been proved that young adults are facing anxiety issues but have the ability to control their emotions according to the situation. So, as social anxiety increases, self-regulation decreases have been proved wrong. H1 is rejected, as there is a significant relationship between social anxiety and self-regulation. Fear of negative evaluation is another factor which is facing by both the age groups. In conclusion of the study, it has been proved that people are still struggling by negative evaluated by others so H1 has been rejected as there is a positive correlation between social anxiety and fer of negative evaluation. It can also be concluded that Females percentage is more when it comes to social anxiety in comparison to males. It can be concluded that females are more anxious than males, H2 is accepted.

References

- Aderka, I. M., Haker, A., Marom, S., Hermesh, H., & GilboaSchechtman, E. (2013). Information-seeking bias in social anxiety disorder. Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 122(1), 7-12. doi:10.1037/a0029555
- Clark, D. (1997). A cognitive perspective in social phobia. Biological Psychiatry,42(1). (pp. 406-41 1). doi: 10.1016/s0006- 3223(97)87445-8
- Collins, K. A., Westra, H. A., Dozois, D. J., & Stewart, S. H. (2005). The validity of the brief version of the Fear of Negative Evaluation Scale. Journal of Anxiety Disorders, 19(3), 345-359. doi: 10.1016/j.janxdis.2004.02.003
- Goldin, P.R., Ziv, M., Jazaieri, H., Hahn K., Heimberg, R. (2013, October). Impact of CognitiveBehavioral Therapy for Social Anxiety Disorder on the Neural Dynamics of Cognitive Reappraisal of Negative Self-beliefs, JAMA Psychiatry, 2013;70(10):1048-1056.
- Harold Leitenberg (1990) "Handbook of Social and Evaluation Anxiety", ISBN 0-306-43438-5
- Kocovski, N. L., & Endler, N. S. (2000). Social anxiety, selfregulation, and fear of negative evaluation. European Journal of Personality, 14(4), 347-358. doi: 1 0. 1002/1099-0984(200007/08) 14:43.3.co;2-z
- MacLeod, C., & Mathews, A. (1991). Biased cognitive operations in anxiety: Accessibility of information or assignment of processing priorities? Behaviour Research and Therapy, 29, 599-610.
- Pilkonis PA. 1977. Shyness, public and private, and its relationship to other measures of social behaviour. Journal of Personality 45: 585-595.
- Rapee, R. M., & Heimberg, R. G. (1997). A cognitive-behavioral model of anxiety in social phobia. Behaviour Research and Therapy,35(8), 741-756. doi: 10.1016/s0005-7967(97)00022-3
- Rehm LP, Marston AR. 1968. Reduction of social anxiety through modification of self-reinforcement: an instigation therapy technique. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology 32: 565-574.
- Rehm LP. 1977. A self-control model of depression. Behaviour Therapy 8: 787-804.
- Rosenberg M. 1965. Society and the adolescent self-image. Princeton University Press: Princeton, NJ. Schlenker BR, Leary MR. 1982. Social anxiety and selfpresentation: a conceptualization and model. Psychological Bulletin 92: 641-669.
- Sheldon KM, Kasser T. 1998. Pursuing personal goals: skills enable progress, but not all progress is bene®cial. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin 24: 1319-1331.

- Spielberger CD, Ritterband LM. 1996. Preliminary Test Manual for the State±Trait Depression Scale. University of South Florida: Tampa, FL.
- Stine R. 1990. An introduction to bootstrap methods: examples and ideas. Modern Methods of Data Analysis, Fox J, Long JS (eds). Sage: London; 325-73.
- Wallace ST, Alden LE. 1991. A comparison of social standards and perceived ability in anxious and nonanxious men. Cognitive Therapy and Research 15: 237-254.
- Watson D, Friend R. 1969. Measurement of social-evaluative anxiety. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology 33: 448-457.
- Watson, D., & Friend, R. (1969). Measurement of social-evaluative anxiety. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 33(4), 448-457. doi: 10.1037/H1027806
- Winton EC, Clark DM, Edelmann RJ. 1995. Social anxiety, fear of negative evaluation and the detection of negative emotion in others. Behaviour Research and Therapy 33: 193-196.
- Zeidner M, Boekaerts M, Pintrich PR. 2000. Self-regulation: directions and challenges for future research. Handbook of Selfregulation, Boekaerts M, Pintrich PR, Zeidner M (eds). Academic: San Diego; p. 749-783.